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ABSTRACT
This study investigates the impoliteness strategies in online comments in Liputan6.com. The objectives of this study are: 1) to investigate the types of impoliteness strategies used on online comments in website Liputan6.com, 2) to find out the dominant type of impoliteness strategies used on online comments in website Liputan6.com. This study was conducted by using qualitative content analysis method. The data were taken from comments of internet users in five topics of political news in Idntimes.com. The finding showed that four of five types of impoliteness strategies are used on online comments in website Liputan6.com. They are: Bald on Record, Positive impoliteness, Negative Impoliteness, and Sarcasm or Mock politeness. The dominant types is negative impoliteness by used scorn or ridicules comments and invade the other's space with that comments and explicitly associate the other with a negative aspect.
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INTRODUCTION
In doing communication people deal with various ways to communicate. in order to get a good relation while doing conversation. as to pretend social relation among speaker and addresser, so, it is common for to use linguistic strategies to maintain or promote harmonious relations. The message of the topic that is discussing is can be reach well. it is also occur in political field. we can finds many strategies that the speaker use in communicate. As Lakoff (1989) is the first who attempts to set up pragmatic rules on conversation. She suggests two overarching rules of pragmatic competence: be clear and be polite. Rule one (be clear) is really the Grecian Cooperative Principle in which she renames the rules of conversation. Rules two (be polite) consists of a sub set of three rules: don’t impose, give options, makes other people feel good – be friendly.
Language is dynamic and always follows the change of the society. The change of language comes from the society, the context where a language is used by the speaker. One thing should be remembered that there is a rule when a language used, who spoke the language and why a language are spoken by the people. But, nowadays People used language based on their needs and sometimes do not look for norms and out of rules. This phenomenon often occurs in social media such as website of political news while the user of internet give comments or his/her thought of an issue.

Impoliteness strategies discussed about the change of a way in communicate in linguistics issue. People are more to be rude and emotional when discussing some issues for example about politics. The rudeness of social media users in website as in political news are occurs because of the news are in online form, the news maker and the commentators (people) do not seem directly but only on social media. Its was one situation that give a chance for people to write a rude comment and also arrogant as in linguistics term is impoliteness.

Actually, this kind of research study is not new in linguistics area. There are so many previous researches that discussed about this topic in different context. Mahrani (2017) conducted a research about Impoliteness strategies use on online comments in IDN.TIMES.com political website, she found that four of five types of impoliteness strategies are used on online comments in political website Idntimes.com. They are: Bald on Record, Positive impoliteness, Negative Impoliteness, and Sarcasm or Mock politeness then the dominant types is negative impoliteness by used scorn or ridicules comments and invade the other's space with that comments and explicitly associate the other with a negative aspect. The other researcher is Kuntsi (2012) conducted a research about Politeness and impoliteness strategies use by lawyers in the ‘dover trial’—a case study. He found that lawyers do use both politeness and impoliteness strategies in their speech in the courtroom. However, the number of politeness strategies was significantly greater than of impoliteness strategies.

Based on the previous researches above, all the finding are in line with the theory of politeness and impoliteness strategies proposed by Brown and Levinson (1987) and Culpeper (1996). The phenomenon of impoliteness strategies used by people in social media is taken a different area. The issues that presents in that social media or internet are vary and all people from different background, age and profession can log in an have a chat or leave comment in that social media.

The impoliteness output strategies outlined in Culpeper (1996) seem to have stood the test of time, the same basic set having been applied in a number of studies. In linguistics area impoliteness strategies as one of famous theory that often rise to be conducted by researcher or linguists in various field of society. Theoretically, Culpeper (1996) stated that impoliteness is Language or behaviors which are negatively evaluated in a particular context. They are negatively evaluated because they attack somebody’s identity or rights. They cause specific emotional reactions (e.g. hurt, anger). For this Culpeper proposes five super strategies that speaker use to make impolite utterances such as: 1) Bald on record impoliteness, 2) Positive impoliteness, 3) Negative impoliteness, 4) Sarcasm or mock politeness, and 5) Withhold Politeness. The problems of the study are formulated as follows: What types of impoliteness strategies used on online comments in website Liputan6.com of political news? and What is the dominant type of impoliteness strategies used on online comments in website Liputan6.com of political news?.

METHOD

This study was conducted by applying qualitative content analysis method in order to find out the realization of the theory in different phenomena. Marriying (2000:2) defines that as an approach of empirical, methodological controlled analysis of texts with their context of communication following content analytic rules and step by step models, based on impoliteness theory which concern on the impoliteness strategies on comments in social media. The data of this research were the online comments of user in website namely Liputan6.com. In commenting three topics such as; politic, covid-19 and education topic. The data were collected by applying documentary technique. In line, the data were taken from political website and transcribe the online comments. The instrument of this research was by using mobile phone with internet access in order to log in and take the user comments.
Analysis involves working with data, organizing them, breaking them into manageable unites, synthesizing them, searching for patterns, discovering what is important and what is to be learn, and deciding what you will tell others (Bogdan and Biglen, 1992: 153). The data were analyzed by using Miles and Huberman’s analysis, the procedures are: 1) Data reduction, 2) Data display, 3) Conclusion drawing or verification. (Miles and Huberman: 1994). Data reduction refers to process of selecting, focusing, perifying, abstracting, and transforming the raw data that appear in written-up fields note. Data display refers to display the data into extended piece of text or diagram, chart, table or that provides a new way of arranging and thinking about more textual embedded data. Conclusion involves stepping back to consider what the analyzed data mean and to assess their applications for the question at hand. Verification integrally linked to the drawing the conclusion, revisiting the data as many as necessary to cross-check or verifies emergent conclusion (Miles and Huberman, 1994). In realization of Miles and Huberman’s procedures, the writer collected data were then analyzed the data as process of data reduction. The writer selected user comments in political website Idntimes.com. Then focused on the data then simplifying in order to make user comments in social media better written form without losing the meaning. Giving mark based on the kinds and how the ways of using impoliteness strategies as the step of abstracting and show it in table to display the data. Finally, drew a conclusion based on the data that have been found out.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Impoliteness Strategies

The impoliteness strategies proposed in Culpeper (1996: 356-7, and slightly revised in 2005), which to an extent mirror the politeness strategies of Brown and Levinson (1987) Impoliteness is a negative attitude towards specific behaviors occurring in specific contexts. It is sustained by expectations, desires and/or beliefs about social organization, including, in particular, how one person’s or a group’s identities are mediated by others in interaction. Situated behaviors are viewed negatively—considered “impolite”—when they conflict with how one expects them to be, how one wants them to be and/or how one thinks they ought to be. Such behaviors always have or are presumed to have emotional consequences for at least one participant, that is, they cause or are presumed to cause offence. (Culpeper 2011, 254)

The English language is replete with words that can be used to describe impoliteness behaviors, including: bratty, ill-mannered (bad-mannered, unmannered, unmanly), unruly, rude, discourteous, ungracious, abusive, not polite, ill-bred, boundaries, yokelish, ungracious, unrefined, uncouth, uncivil, crude, vulgar, lacking tact or refinement, insulting, insensitive, abrupt, brusque, curt, disrespectful, contemptuous, gruff, impudent, impertinent, insolent, cheeky, crusty (Culpeper 2011).

Types of Impoliteness Strategies

There are some types of impoliteness strategies. As Culpeper (1996: 8) defines impoliteness as the use of strategies to attack the interlocutor’s face and create social disruption. For this Culpeper proposes five super strategies that speaker use to make impolite utterances as follows:

1. Bald on Record

The FTA is performed in a direct, clear, unambiguous and concise way in circumstances where face is not irrelevant or minimized. It is important to distinguish this strategy from Brown and Levinson’s Bald on record. For Brown and Levinson, Bald on record is a politeness strategy in fairly specific circumstances. For example, when face concerns are suspended in an emergency, when the threat to the hearer’s face is very small (e.g. “Come in” or ”Do sit down”), or when the speaker is much more powerful than the hearer (e.g. “Stop complaining” said by a parent to a child). In all these cases little face is at stake, and more importantly, it is not the intention of the speaker to attack the face of the hearer.

2. Positive Impoliteness

The use of strategies designed to damage the addressee’s positive face wants. This can be done through the following ways, such as:
3. Negative Impoliteness

The use of strategies designed to damage the addressee's negative face wants. This can be done through the following ways, such as:

- **Frighten** - instill a belief that action detrimental to the other will occur.
- **Condescend, scorn or ridicule** - emphasize your relative power. Be contemptuous. Do not treat the other seriously. Belittle the other (e.g. use diminutives).
- **Invade the other's space** - literally (e.g. position yourself closer to the other than the relationship permits) or metaphorically (e.g. ask for or speak about information which is too intimate given the relationship).
- **Explicitly associate the other with a negative aspect** - personalize, use the pronouns 'I' and 'you'.
- **Put the other's indebtedness on record** - with a negative aspect, put the other's indebtedness on record (Culpeper, 1996: 358).

4. Sarcasm or Mock Politeness

The FTA is performed with the use of politeness strategies that are obviously insincere, and thus remain surface realizations. Culpeper’s sarcasm or mock politeness is close to Leech's (1983) conception of irony "If you must cause offence, at least do so in a way which doesn't overtly conflict with the PP, but allows the hearer to arrive at the offensive point of your remark indirectly, by way of an implicative" (1983: 82). This is of course the opposite of Brown and Levinson's social harmony that is achieved through off-record politeness. One more point to add is that 'sarcasm' (mock politeness for social disharmony) is clearly the opposite of 'banter' (mock impoliteness for social harmony) (Culpeper, 1996: 356).

5. Withhold Politeness

This refers to the absence of politeness work where it would be expected. For example, failing to thank somebody for a present may be taken as deliberate impoliteness (Culpeper, 1996: 8-9). To Culpeper, Brown and Levinson touch on the face-damaging implications of withholding politeness work by saying that "...politeness has to be communicated, and the absence of communicated politeness may be taken as the absence of a polite attitude".

Catherine Rondina and Dan Workman's (2005) Rudeness

- Insulting someone to their face. (Positive impoliteness: Call the other names)
- Embarrass or insult others. (Positive impoliteness: Make the other feel uncomfortable. Call the other names)
- Avoiding or ignoring someone. (Positive impoliteness: Ignore, snub the other)
- Don't use crude language. (Positive impoliteness: Use taboo words)
- Talking back to your parents or teachers. (Negative impoliteness: Condescend, scorn or ridicule)
Interrupt when someone is speaking. (Negative impoliteness: Violate the structure of conversation)

Forgetting to say "please" or "thank you." (Withhold politeness)

Social Media Discourse

Nowadays, Social media is one of human needs in their daily life. People seem can’t live without social media. Every activity are posted in social media and also get everything such information from it. In conclusion, people become a consumer of social media. All the things that you want to know are served by the social media. As what Joe (2016) said that like TV social media now increasingly entertains us, and even more so than television it amplifies our exiting beliefs and habits.

Joe (2016) said that social media, in contrasts, uses algorithms to encourage comfort and complaisance, since its entire business model built upon maximizing the time users spend inside of it. Furthermore, he added that the outcome of hang on social media is proliferation of emotions, a radicalization of those emotions, and a fragmented society. This is way more dangerous for the idea of democracy founded on the notion of democracy founded on the notion of informed participant.

As pointed out by Hopper (2007), ICT and digital media are the catalyst for contemporary communication. Situated as one of the latest of several waves of new media, social media have introduced new communication patterns, created new forms of expression, stimulated a wider civic participation, and so forth. Social media are rapidly evolving, their significance is increasing, and their role is changing in social and political processes. Social media are new digital media for social interaction. The concept refers to a set of internet-based applications built on the technological foundations of Web 2.0 and that enable user-generated content to be created and exchanged (Kaplan & Haenlein 2010) There exist different forms of social media, such as social networking sites (e.g. Facebook), content communities (e.g. Youtube), micro-blogging (e.g. Twitter), and so on. So, when people talk about one topic a language comes to be varying and out of control with negative emotion. So, focus on the language use in social media, it seems so rude to be written and read by other people especially the young users who are still teenager. Beside it, social media also has a role in change the language of some terminologies or new vocabularies that already used by people in their daily interaction and it has been familiar and meaningful to the society

DISCUSSION

Types of Impoliteness Strategies on online comments in political website

Based on the data of this research the occurrence of the impoliteness strategies can be seen in the proportion on the table below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impoliteness Strategies</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Bald on Record</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>17.64%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Positive impoliteness</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>22.05%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Negative impoliteness</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>52.94%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Sarcasm or Mock Politeness</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Withhold Politeness</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>340</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on the data above, it was found that four of five impoliteness strategies were used on online comments in political website, namely Bald on Record, Positive Impoliteness, Negative Impoliteness and Sarcasm or Mock Politeness.
Bald on Record

Based on the data analysis, it is found that Bald on Record occurred in online comments of political website.

**Data 1**

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>XX</td>
<td>“menjatuhkan harga diri” <em>(Bald on Record)</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MP</td>
<td>“sudah biasa?” <em>(Bald on Record)</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AK</td>
<td>“itulah kenyataannya” <em>(Bald on Record)</em></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In data 1, it can be show that Bald on Record is occurred by direct, clear and face is at stake.

Positive Impoliteness

**Data 2**

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AF</td>
<td>“Jadi kang cilok aja. Atau buka took di Glodok jual beli abu gosok” <em>(Positive impoliteness)</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DH</td>
<td>“Ditunggu junjungan kaum onta untuk balik ke Indonesia menjalani proses-proses hokum dikepolisian dan pengadilan” <em>(Positive impoliteness)</em></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In data 2, the occurrence of positive politeness is presented by the sentence “kang cilok” and “Jual beli di Glodok” and “kaum onta” in the previous sentence. It is the way of snub the other that belong to positive politeness type.

Negative Impoliteness

**Data 3**

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FI</td>
<td>“pejabat yang sudah diatas suka lupa sama janji mereka. kayak ga pernah dibawah aja” <em>(Negative impoliteness)</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ad</td>
<td>“(laughing) yang mau vaksin angkat tangan.?” <em>(Negative impoliteness)</em></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In data 3, it is show that the comment wants to invade the other's space and Condescend, scorn or ridicule as the way of negative impoliteness type.

Sarcasm or Mock Politeness

**Data 4**

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dc</td>
<td>“pekerjaan mereka mau gimana bukan urusan gue” <em>(Sarcasm or Mock politeness)</em></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on data analysis, The findings as follows:

a. The online comments in this political website are occurred in four of five types of impoliteness strategies, namely Bald on record, Positive impoliteness, Negative impoliteness, and Sarcasm or mock politeness.

b. The online comments in this political website are mostly on negative impoliteness about 52.94%. It is aim to damage the addressee’s negative face wants. Then, the second is Positive Impoliteness with the percentage 22.05%. Next is Bald on record with the percentage 17.64% and the last is Sarcasm or Mock Politeness with the percentage 7.35%. While, Withhold Politeness are does not occur in this online comments.

CONCLUSION

The conclusions of this research study are:

a. There are four types of impoliteness strategies that occurred on online comment in website Liputan6.com: negative politeness, positive politeness, bald on Record and sarcasm or mock politeness.

b. Negative impoliteness is the dominant types was occurred.
c. The negative impoliteness are occurred on this online comment are used: in condescend, scorn or ridicule - emphasize your relative power, Invade the other's space – literally and explicitly associate the other with a negative aspect.
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